

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6430-2018

Date of Decision: 06.03.2018

Chandni

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate, for
Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 16.02.2018, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Satish Kumar, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation but is not stated to have got the alleged weapon of offence recovered.

Without making any comment on the actual involvement or otherwise, of the petitioner, in the occurrence in question, for the purpose of granting her bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. only, she being a lady and her involvement in the occurrence to be fully determined, the aforesaid order dated 16.02.2018, is made absolute.

However, nothing stated in this order or the earlier order, would be construed as a comment by this Court on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner.

March 06, 2018

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes
No.