

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6417 of 2018
Date of Decision: 23.02.2018**

VED PRAKASH @ VIKASH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.529 dated 11.09.2016, registered under Sections 148/149/302/323/506/120B IPC at Police Station SGM Nagar, District Faridabad.

As per prosecution story, complainant Raj Rani lodged the FIR with the allegations that on the day of Holi, her son Rohit @ Fauji had a scuffle with Sehdev @ Bittu Rana, who gave threat of killing her son. Daughter of the complainant was also threatened. On 10.09.2016, her son and his two associates namely Prince and Lakshay Nagar went to take meal in a Restaurant. When they were thinking of taking meal, some

unknown persons surrounded their car. They opened the door from the driver side. Rohit @ Fauji i.e. son of the complainant was asked to come out of the car. He was pulled out of the car and was surrounded by 15-20 persons duly armed. Rohit and Lakshay Nagar tried to come out of the car, but in vain. Their attempt to inform the police also went in vain. Rohit was badly beaten. He was taken to Hospital in a serious condition, whereby he succumbed to his injuries.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that allegations have been made against Sehdev @ Bittu Rana and his brother-in-law along with 15-20 persons, who had attacked Rohit. Sehdev @ Bittu Rana has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 02.02.2018 passed in **CRM-M No.48802 of 2017**. Co-accused Deepak Kumar was also granted bail by this Court vide order dated 16.02.2018 passed in **CRM-M No.2988 of 2018**.

Learned counsel further submitted that co-accused namely Deepak @ Dimpy and Satbir have already been granted bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.07.2017 and 07.12.2017 passed in **CRM-M No.11229 of 2017** and **CRM-M No.41851 of 2017** respectively.

The role of the petitioner cannot be segregated with

other accused.

The statement of aforesaid facts has not been disputed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vijay Kumar.

In view of above circumstances, without adverting to the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail, who is in custody since 16.09.2016.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

February 23, 2018

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No