

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.4016 of 2016**

**Date of decision: 02.02.2018**

Savitri Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Vikas and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present:** Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

Challenge in this revision petition is to the order dated 31.08.2016 passed by the Juvenile Justice Board, Fatehabad vide which the respondent No.1 – Vikas was held guilt of offence punishable under Section 325 read with Section 34 IPC and was admonished after issuing a warning not to repeat any such offence in future.

Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner who is grandmother of the respondent/accused – Vikas got the aforesaid FIR registered against him with the allegation that he has caused injuries to her on 26.04.2016 with an iron rod on the thumb of her right palm.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though the respondent No.1 was found to be below 18 years of age and was declared as a juvenile in conflict with law by the Juvenile Justice Board, Fatehabad, the sentence awarded to respondent No.1 is not in accordance with law. It is further submitted that there was a direct role attributed to him which he has confessed before the Board and, therefore, while passing the order of admonition, the Board has not taken into notice that the petitioner is the real grandmother of

respondent No.1.

After hearing counsel for the petitioner, I find no merit in the present revision petition. Though the relationship between the petitioner – complainant and the accused – Vikas, who is juvenile is not in dispute, however, the Board after holding him guilty of offence punishable under Section 325 read with Section 34 IPC has admonished him by issuing a warning that he should not repeat the crime in future. The said order was passed on 31.08.2016 and there is no allegation that subsequent thereto the registration of the FIR till date, the respondent No.1 has repeated any such offence and has not improved his behaviour.

In view of the above, I find no merit in the order dated 31.08.2016 passed by the Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Fatehabad admonishing respondent No.1 by issuing a warning not to repeat such offence in future as it is not the case of the petitioner/complainant that he has not improved his behaviour or has repeated such offence again.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**02.02.2018**

*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No