

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6370 of 2018

Date of Decision:16.04.2018

Parveen Kumar Singh

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of U.T. Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.H.K. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Anil Kumar Lambdharia, Astt. P.P. U.T.Chandigarh.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.167, dated 19.08.2017, under Sections 66-C/66-D of I.T. Act and Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-26, Chandigarh.

FIR came to be registered on the complaint of Om Parkash S/o Suraj Bhan. Allegations in a nutshell are that the complainant had been duped of a total sum of Rs.4,19,000/- on the pretext of being sold a flat in Noida and such amount having been paid over different dates and such money having been utilized towards issuance of insurance policies.

Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned, prosecution version is that amount of Rs.25,000/- was deposited in his account by way of RTGS.

Investigation is complete and even challan has been presented.

Learned counsel representing U.T. Chandigarh would submit that during the course of investigation, recovery of four mobile phones and the details of the policies were recovered from the premises wherefrom the present petitioner and other co-accused were operating.

Petitioner was arrested on 20.08.2017.

Trial would take time to conclude.

Complainant, Om Parkash has already been examined before the trial Court.

It is not the case made out on behalf of the U.T. Chandigarh that petitioner, if granted benefit of bail would be in a position to hamper the course of a free and fair trial.

In the considered view of this Court, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till culmination of the trial.

Without making any observations on merit, prayer for grant of bail is accepted.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

16.04.2018
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No