

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-6334 of 2018

Date of Decision: February 15, 2018

Chhinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioner

-. -

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No. 1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from respondents No. 5 to 10.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity to issue notice to private respondents No. 5 and 10 at this stage.

The allegations made by the petitioner in his complaint dated 6.10.2017 (Annexure P-12) are that the private respondents are repeatedly harassing him and his family on account of some property dispute. The son of the petitioner has also been caused injuries and threats to demolish their residential house had also been hurled in the past.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No. 3 to enquire into the representation

dated 6.10.2017 (Annexure P-12) and take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner is also directed to be associated in the enquiry.

February 15, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No