

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6109-2018
Date of decision:30.08.2018**

Surjit Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simranjeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Grewal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.14 dated 13.02.2017 under Sections 341, 307, 323, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, Police Station Lakho Ke Behram, District Ferozepur and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

Vide order dated 15.02.2018 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate so as to get the statements

recorded with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

Report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Guruharsahai, has been received to the effect that statements of complainant-Sukhwinder Singh and also of the accused/petitioners namely Surjit Singh, Malkit Singh, Harjinder Singh and Ravinder Singh have been recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter amongst themselves with the intervention of respectables, without any pressure upon them. Learned Magistrate has specifically opined that the compromise has been effected voluntarily without any inducement or threat.

In view of the aforesaid compromise and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the petition is allowed and FIR No.14 dated 13.02.2017 under Sections 341, 307, 323, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, Police Station Lakho Ke Behram, District Ferozepur and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

30.08.2018
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No