

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.6088 of 2018

Date of decision: 20.04.2018

Gulshan Sabharwal and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.6 to 11.

The detailed reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Valtoha Camp at Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran, filed in the Court is taken on record.

As per the reply, there was a matrimonial discord between respondent No.6 – Vandana with her husband – Rohit @ Gaurav Sabharwal, who is son of petitioners No.1 and 2 and brother of petitioner No.3. Later on, in an enquiry submitted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police (Annexure R1/T) dated 06.07.2017, it was found that respondent No.6 has made a statement that she has compromised the matter with her husband – Rohit @ Gaurav Sabharwal. It is further stated in the reply that thereafter, a complaint was given by Rohit Sabharwal on 10.11.2017 against his son-in-law and the matter was again enquired into and the same was filed as the

complainant – Rohit @ Gaurav Sabharwal failed to appear during the enquiry. It is further stated in the reply that thereafter, an application dated 17.01.2018 was filed by respondent No.9 – Rajpal Puri against his son-in-law i.e. Rohit @ Gaurav Sabharwal and his family members including the petitioners for demand of dowry and commit cruelty upon respondent No.6 – Vandana and in the said enquiry, the complainant i.e. respondent No.9 failed to appear and the same was filed.

Counsel for the State has, thus, submitted that the petitioners and respondent No.6 are having a matrimonial dispute and they are filing complaints against each other and even failed to appear during the enquiry.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

20.04.2018
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No