

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.608 of 2018
Date of Decision: 16.01.2018**

**ManjitPetitioner
Vs
State of HaryanaRespondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No538 dated 12.10.2017 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC and Section 326 IPC (added later on) at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, Kurukshetra.

As per allegations in the FIR, complainant Ankush Sharma had gone to his village from Chandigarh where his nephew was living. Accused Manjit started talking to him and in the meanwhile, Neeraj came out with a *gandasi* and inflicted a *gandasi* blow on his left side. Petitioner also took *gandasi* from Cable Office and inflicted injuries at the back and right leg of the complainant. Neeraj gave *gandasi* blow on the elbow of left hand. When the complainant started running towards the house of Anil

Sharma (nephew), both the accused followed him and made the complainant to fall. Petitioner gave a *gandasi* blow on the waist of the complainant and thereafter, the complainant went inside the house of his nephew.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the injury falling under the mischief of Section 326 IPC is attributed to Neeraj when he inflicted *gandasi* blow on the left ulna of the complainant.

This fact has been admitted by the learned State Counsel on instructions from Sub Inspector Balwinder Singh.

Other offences are under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC. Petitioner is in custody from the last 2 months and 17 days. Challan has already been presented and charges have been framed. Case is triable by the Magistrate.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

January 16, 2018

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No