

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Revision No. 3566 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.03.2018

Meenu Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. P.S.Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Natasha Munjal, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

CRM-30717-2016

Application is allowed for the reasons stated therein.

Delay of 37 days in filing the revision is condoned.

CRR-3566-2016 (O&M)

The petitioner has filed this revision assailing the order dated 12.6.2015 passed by the Magistrate as well as the order dated 23.5.2016 passed by Additional Sessions Judge whereby interim maintenance had been denied to her.

Today it was informed that the parties have already closed their evidence and the case is fixed for final hearing on 22.3.2018.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that since the case is at the final stages, this petition may be disposed of and their only fear is that the

trial Court may not be influenced by the orders passed at the interim stage.

The case is at the final stages and the parties have already led their evidence.

The petition is disposed of.

The trial Court will decide the petition without being influenced from the observations made by the Courts below while dismissing the application for interim maintenance and appeal filed by the petitioner.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

March 07, 2018

Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No