

230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

HEMLATA
2018.09.01 09:26
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRR-4714-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:30.08.2018

Paramjit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This is a revision challenging the conviction and sentence of the petitioner under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC in FIR No. 30 dated 20.02.2009, registered at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar. The petitioner was convicted by the trial Court and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 02 years along with fine of ₹.1000/- . The appeal was filed by the petitioner challenging that conviction and sentence. However, the same was also dismissed. Accordingly, the present revision petition was filed by the petitioner challenging the orders of Courts below.

A perusal of the order sheets in this case shows that while arguing the case on 08.02.2016, the present petition was restricted only qua the quantum of sentence and notice of motion was issued for this limited aspect. Thereafter, the sentence of the petitioner was ordered to be suspended vide order dated 22.02.2017.

Learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner, which shows that the petitioner was actually released on

04.03.2017. But by this date, the petitioner had already completed the sentence; as counted along with remissions.

In view of the above, since the present petition was restricted only qua the quantum of sentence and the petitioner has already completed the sentence, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

30.08.2018
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No