

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5924-2018

Date of Decision:21.03.2018

Sumit

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Abhinav Sood, Advocate for
Mr.Abhayveer Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.R.A.Sheoran, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody for 10 months, with the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. just having been presented and no allegation of any gun shot having been fired by him made even in the FIR.

Though learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that even on a 'Face book' account he was shown to have made some posts regarding the occurrence, in which one person was unfortunately killed, the photocopy of the said account as has been produced in Court today by the learned counsel, *prima facie* shows it to be the account of one Nishi Babal, with that person at least having shown to have mentioned the petitioners' name also.

Learned counsel for the complainant further submits that two other FIRs also stand registered against the petitioner.

He further submits that the petitioner is in any case involved in the conspiracy.

Without making any comment whatsoever on the involvement/non-involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence, which would be gone into by the trial Court wholly on the basis of evidence led before it, in the aforesaid circumstances as given in the opening paragraph of this order, seeing also the stage of trial and the period of custody of the petitioner, I consider it appropriate to allow the petition and admit him to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Disposed of.

March 21, 2018

dharamvir/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO