

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

273

CRM-33534-2018 IN/AND
CRM-M-5851-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:11.10.2018

Anil Chanana

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rajeev Anand, Mr. Kunal Dawar and
Mr.Abhinav Sood, Advocates,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana, for respondent No.1.**

**Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

CRM-33534-2018

The present application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been moved by the petitioner so as to go abroad during the pendency of the present petition.

On the joint request made by learned counsel for the parties, main case which is otherwise fixed for hearing on 28.11.2018, is taken on Board today itself.

CRM-M-5851-2018

quashing of F.I.R. No.0077 dated 05.05.2017 under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Cheeka, District Kaithal.

Learned State counsel has filed consolidated reply in CRM-M-11541-2018 by way of affidavit of Sh. Kuldip Singh Siag, Additional Director General of Police, Crime, Haryana, Panchkula in Court today, which is taken on record.

Learned State Counsel on the strength of the affidavit so filed states that reply from all the SIT(Heads) have been received. The Heads of SIT, Kurukshetra, Jind, Sonipat, Panipat, Hansi, Sirsa, Kaithal, Yamunanagar and Rohtak, have intimated that no incriminating material/evidence has come on file against the petitioner during the course of investigation and so far petitioner has been found innocent. Therefore, the respondents have no objection if permission is granted to the petitioner to travel abroad.

Learned State Counsel states that the Additional Director General of Police, Crime, Haryana, Panchkula, is the Nodal Officer appointed by Director General of Police, Haryana, for the case under reference and it is in that capacity the affidavit has been filed.

In view of the affidavit so filed and the fact that the petitioner has been found innocent during the course of investigation, the present petition no more survives and is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

October 11, 2018

seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No