

205-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.5829 of 2018

Date of Decision: 18.04.2018

Ajeet Sharma

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 12.02.2018, following order was passed:-

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is a Media Manager in Teleone Consumers Products Private Limited. Offence under Section 66-D of the Information Technology Act is a complete code. In view of Section 77-B of the Information Technology Act, the offence punishable upto the imprisonment of 3 years is bailable.

Notice of motion for 01.03.2018.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join

investigation on 16.02.2018 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M No.3476 of 2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and recoveries have already been effected by the police.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from SI Daljit Singh submits that since hard disk has been recovered from co-accused Darshan Singh, therefore no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant however submits that some more recoveries are to be made from the petitioner.

Considering the stand taken by the prosecution, at this stage, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to make the order dated 12.02.2018 as absolute. Ordered accordingly.

Petition stands disposed of.

April 18, 2018

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No