

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M NO.5776 OF 2018

DATE OF DECISION: MAY 23, 2018

Ranjeet @ Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Jatinder Dhanda, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Sharma, DAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.122, dated 27.08.2017, registered under Sections 323, 341, 506 IPC (Sections 325, 307 IPC added lateron), at Police Station Ding, District Sirsa.

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that it was not a pre-planned commission of offence and rather as per the FIR, the fight started all of a sudden. As per the allegation, the piece of brick, which was lying there, was used to hit the complainant on the head and thereafter certain fists and slaps were also given by the petitioner to the complainant.

Counsel contends that the petitioner is in custody since 27.08.2018 and the complainant has already been examined. He also states that out of 13

witnesses, 6 witnesses have been examined and one has been given up and the next date of hearing is 01.06.2018. He further contends that the trial is not likely to conclude in near future and, therefore, the petitioner be granted the benefit of bail, especially when the complainant has already been examined.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from, HC Rajesh, Police Station Ding, Sirsa, could not dispute the abovesaid facts. He, however, states that the petitioner is involved in three other FIRs as well, where earlier also he had fought with people and, therefore, he should not be granted the concession of bail.

Having considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that the fight appears to be at the spur of moment without any pre-planning and that the petitioner is in custody for the last nine months and further the trial is not likely to conclude in near future, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court, Sirsa.

Any observation made herein above shall have no bearing on the merits of the case during the trial in any manner.

May 23, 2018
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No