

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5703-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.09.2018**

HANISH KHATTAR

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. J.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Mediation has failed.

Counsel for the complainant refers to the order passed by the
Court below to show the conduct of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order
dated 09.02.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has
cooperated.

Learned State counsel states that recovery was effected but the
complainant refused to accept the articles as she was insisting that she wanted
to stay with the petitioner.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on
the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 09.02.2018
granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions

laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

SUNIL
2018-09-28 16:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

September 26, 2018
Sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No