

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No. 3306 of 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- April 03, 2018**

Manish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAN

Present:- None for the petitioner.

As per office report, counsel for the petitioner has been served but he has not put in appearance.

The Criminal Revision is time barred, inasmuch as it has been filed belatedly by 82 days. Though an application under Section 5 of the Limitation act for condonation of delay has been moved contending that the delay took place on account of several objections raised by the Registry and considerable time was consumed in removal of such objections.

I do not find this reason to be plausible or convincing. I do not see any reason to condone the delay in filing the Criminal Revision, therefore, this petition is doomed for failure being time barred. However, on merits also the Criminal Revision Petition is not sustainable. The impugned judgment passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula vide which the accused had been acquitted of the charge framed against him and judgment passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Panchkula dismissing the appeal are

well reasoned, based on proper appraisal and correct interpretation of law. I do not find any illegality or infirmity therein much less apparent on the face of such judgments and certainly not perverse and are not shown to have been passed in violation of settled principles of law. Therefore, the Criminal Revision Petition stands dismissed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

April 03, 2018
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No