

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR -3187-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-20.11.2018

Dilbagh Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present:- Mr. Lalit Singla, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simranjeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Tejinder Kataria, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

**CRM-40514-2018**

Allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions and  
compromise deed dated 06.11.2018, Annexure P-6 is taken on record.

The application stands disposed of accordingly.

**Main Case**

By way of filing this petition, the petitioner assails judgment  
dated 04.07.2016 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala  
whereby the conviction of the petitioner for offences punishable under

Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 as recorded by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala has been upheld.

Today at the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in fact the parties are closely related to each other and FIR came to be lodged on account of some misunderstanding, which has now been resolved and that the complainant is present in person in the Court and is also represented by counsel who has no objection either for setting aside the impugned judgment or for reduction of the sentence.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. A perusal of the impugned judgment shows that FIR was lodged at the instance of Lakhwinder Singh wherein he alleged that his father had expired in the year 1986 and that an electric motor connection was installed in the name of his grandfather which was illegally got transferred by his uncle namely Dilbagh Singh (petitioner) on the basis of forged documents and that Dilbagh Singh had forged 'no objection' purporting to have been issued by his mother whereas in fact no such certificate had been issued by his mother.

Learned trial Court upon recording evidence of the prosecution and after hearing, found the accused guilty for offences punishable under Sections Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, which was affirmed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala.

Complainant-Lakhwinder Singh is present in person and has been duly identified by Mr. Tejinder Kataria, Advocate. Upon being asked by this Court, he has specifically stated that the matter stands compromised amongst the parties and written compromise in this regard has already been taken on record.

In view of the fact that the parties are closely related to each other and have compromised the matter, the petition is accepted to the limited extent qua sentence and the sentence of imprisonment as imposed upon the petitioner is reduced to the one already undergone which is stated to be more than 'three months'.

The petition stands accepted to the aforesaid limited extend of reduction of sentence as indicated above.

The petitioner be released immediately if not required in any other case.

**( GURVINDER SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

**20.11.2018**  
Gaurav Sorot

|                              |          |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Whether reasoned / speaking? | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable?          | Yes / No |