

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No.3165 of 2016
DATE OF DECISION:-30.10.2018

JAGROOP SINGH

...PETITIONER...

V.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kunwar Raja, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Hayer, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

In compliance of order dated 30.08.2018 and in view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Damodar S. Prabhu v. Sayed Babul H.*", 2010(2) RCR (Criminal) 851, learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the receipt with regard to deposit of Rs.22,500/- with the registry.

Learned proxy counsel for respondent No.2 submits that he has no objection with the acceptance of instant revision petition and setting aside of order dated 15.07.2011 passed by Id. Additional Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib, dismissing the appeal filed by the petitioner and judgment dated 15.12.2009 passed by Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Sri Muktsar Sahib holding the petitioner guilty under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a

period of two years and to pay fine of Rs.5000/-, in default thereof, to further undergo simple imprisonment for a period of three months.

Considering overall facts and circumstances and also the fact that matter has been compromised between the parties, impugned judgment dated 15.07.2011 of first appellate court and that of impugned judgment dated 15.12.2009 of trial court are set aside and accordingly, complaint of respondent No.2 is dismissed as having been compromised.

Disposed of.

30.10.2018

sonika

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

whether reportable:

Yes/No