

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M-552-2018

Date of decision: 10.01.2018

Jatinder Kaur

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. K.P.S.Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that a complaint dated 10.06.2016 (Annexure P-1) was filed by the petitioner against Amit Sharma, Sushma Sharma and Inder Mohan to the Police Commissioner, Amritsar-respondent No. 2 and the same was down-marked to the S.H.O. (Crime), Amritsar-respondent No. 4, who has, after enquiry, submitted his report to the Additional Commissioner of Police, Amritsar-respondent No. 3, where the matter is still pending despite the report given by the S.H.O. (Crime), Amritsar-respondent No. 4. The offence is clearly made out. Because of the inaction on the part of Additional Commissioner of Police, Amritsar-respondent No. 3, the matter is being delayed.

Counsel, thus, contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Additional Commissioner of Police, Amritsar-respondent No. 3, to consider her complaint dated

10.06.2016 (Annexure P-1) submitted to the Police Commissioner, Amritsar-respondent No. 2 at an early date.

In the light of the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner, in case such assertions are correct, a direction is issued to the Additional Commissioner of Police, Amritsar-respondent No. 3 to take a decision in the matter within a period of two months in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of with above directions.

January 10, 2018
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No