

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-547 OF 2018
DATE OF DECISION : 22.05.2018**

Baljinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K. S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of cross version recorded vide DDR No.3 dated 30.07.2016 under Sections 323/324/148/149/336 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that DDR is an outcome of a sudden quarrel between the residents of same village. He further contends that although Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act have been mentioned in the DDR, but no fire arm injury was caused to anyone. The matter has now been compromised.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated

10.01.2018 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bathinda, has sent a report dated 25.01.2018, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The DDR is an outcome of a sudden quarrel between the residents of same village which has now been resolved.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" **2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and DDR No.3 dated 30.07.2016 under Sections 323/324/148/149/336 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

MAY 22, 2018
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes /	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes /	No