

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-54411-2018
Date of decision: 07.12.2018**

Ruby Biyal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No. 1 has performed marriage with petitioner No. 2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. M. S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a representation dated 05.12.2018 (Annexure P-4) was made to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a

direction to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to look into the grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

The Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar is further directed to arrange a meeting of respondent No. 4 with petitioner No. 1 in case any such application is moved.

It is made clear that in case any FIR is registered against petitioner No. 2, it will be open for the police authorities to take action, in accordance with law.

December 07, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No