

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5439-2018

Date of decision : 27.04.2018

Sushma and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, APP
for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr.Om Parkash, Advocate
for respondents no.4 & 5.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for respondents no.4 & 5, i.e. parents of petitioner no.1, submits that though the said petitioner is above 18 years of age and there is no prohibited relationship between the petitioners inter se each other, however, as per the information of respondents no.4 & 5, petitioner no.2, who is shown to be aged 32 years in the petition, was earlier married and there is no divorce decree obtained by him from his first wife, as also noticed by this Court in the order dated 08.02.2018 granting interim protection to the petitioners, while issuing notice to the respondents.

Learned counsel for the U.T. Chandigarh, further submits that as per the enquiry conducted by the SHO, Police Station Mauli Jagran, (respondent no.3), the petitioners are not actually residing at the address

provided to them.

That being so, with neither counsel for the petitioners nor the petitioners appearing today, with no decree of divorce having been produced before this Court, this petition is disposed of with a direction that though the lives of the petitioners would continue to remain protected, their liberty would naturally be protected only within the four corners of law, and if any proceedings are brought by any competent person with regard to a charge of either bigamy or adultery etc., such proceedings would be taken to their logical conclusion, on their own merits.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

April 27, 2018.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No