

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-811 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION: DECEMBER 6, 2018

VEENA HANDA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. S.K. PASSI , ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER.
MR. RAMDEEP PARTAP SINGH, DAG, PUNJAB.
NONE FOR RESPONDENT NO.3.
MR. RAVISH BANSAL, ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NO.4.
NONE FOR RESPONDENT NO.5.
MR. D.K. SINGLA, ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NO.6.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied that the first part of the prayer for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to take action on her representation stands redressed, as the FIR has been registered. The remaining part of the prayer is regarding protection of life and liberty on the alleged apprehension of threat at the hands of respondent No.6 who is bent upon to dispossess the petitioner from her lawful possession of the property in question.

In the considered view of this Court, the said relief can be availed by adopting the alternative remedy in law. Learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the petition to avail the alternative remedy remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

December 6, 2018
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No
Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No