

Dheeraj Pahwa

.....Petitioner

versus

Bhupinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because vide order dated 13.11.2018 (Annexure P-5), it has been recorded that cross examination is 'Nil' though opportunity was granted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the aforementioned observation is incorrect because counsel for the accused-petitioner was not present on the said date. Thus, the trial Court be directed to grant one more opportunity to the accused-petitioner to cross-examine the complainant.

If the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner are correct, then his client would be at liberty to file an appropriate application before the trial Court for the relevant relief. In case such an application is filed, the trial Court shall decide the same in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of.

December 06, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No