

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8078-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.08.2018

Daljeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Amarjeet Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. V.K. Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Antehri, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.

The only argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that while deciding a miscellaneous application of the respondent/accused, the trial Court vide order dated 07.01.2017 (Annexure P-8) had made certain observations about admissibility of Ex.C7. Counsel for the petitioner submits that such observations touching the merits of the case should not be recorded while deciding the miscellaneous application.

Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection, if it is clarified that the observations so made by the trial Court will not have any bearing on merits of the case, as these observations are made only for the purpose of deciding the application.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this petition is

disposed of. It is clarified that the observations about admissibility of Ex.C7 made in the order dated 07.01.2017 passed by the trial Court are only for the purpose of deciding the application as it will be decided at the time of passing of final judgment.

27.08.2018
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No