

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-54092 of 2018

Date of Decision: December 07, 2018

Sartaj Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Mange Ram Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because allegedly his daughter-in-law and her father (respondents No. 4 and 5) have trespassed into part of his residential house and are forcibly residing therein. They are also allegedly threatening him to part with a share in his property and are holding out threats of false implication in criminal cases, incase their demands are not met. The petitioner has made a representation dated 14.11.2018 (Annexure P-1), but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 4 and 5.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 14.11.2018 (Annexure P-1) and to

take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is found

necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

December 07, 2018
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No