

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-54031-2018
Date of decision-06.12.2018**

Kadam Lather and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

The petitioners are aggrieved against the order dated 05.04.2018 (Annexure P-4) whereby on account of their absence, the Court has proceeded to cancel their bail and forfeited the bail bonds. The arrest warrants of the petitioners were also issued.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners were prevented by sufficient reason to cause their appearance before the Court when the case was fixed for 05.04.2018, as the complainant-Amit Kaushik on the same set of allegations as are contained in the complaint in question, got a FIR registered bearing No.0077 dated 26.02.2018 under Sections 120-B and 420 read with Section 34 Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Pundri, District Kaithal. The said FIR was challenged by the petitioners wherein this Court has issued notice of motion and stayed the proceedings qua the petitioners in the said FIR.

In the above background, the petitioners have shown sufficient

reasons for their absence as for one alleged occurrence they were facing two prosecution. Learned counsel has further apprised the Court that the Court has now initiated the proceedings under Section 82 of Cr.P.C. and the case is now fixed before the trial Court for 20.12.2018.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the petition is disposed off with a direction to the petitioners to submit themselves before the trial Court on or before 20.12.2018 and upon doing so, the trial Court shall admit them on bail in accordance with law. In case, the petitioners fail to appear, the order dated 05.04.2018 shall remain intact.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

06.12.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No