

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB &amp; HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-53990 of 2018

Date of Decision: December 07, 2018

Harsimran Singh Bhullar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner

**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner and respondent No. 6 are involved in a matrimonial dispute. As a result, large number of complaints, allegations and counter allegations are being made from both sides. An FIR was got registered by respondent No. 7 against the petitioner, in which the petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail. The petitioner apprehends that keeping the past conduct of respondent No. 6 in view, further complaints/FIRs may be made/got registered against the petitioner on trumped-up charges. Thus, he prays that seven days notice may be given to the petitioner, in case he is required for investigation in any future complaint/FIR.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5. Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 6 to 8. Let adequate number of copies of the petition be supplied to them during the course of the day.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 6 to 8 vehemently opposes the prayer made in this petition. It is his submission that any protection granted is

likely to be mis-utilized by the petitioner. He submits that similar relief was granted to the parents of the petitioner and that they have tried to mis-utilize the same.

In case, any order passed by this Court is being tampered with in an illegal manner, the person responsible for the same would be liable to be proceeded against in accordance with law. On an apprehension that any order passed by this Court may be mis-utilized, relief sought for can not be denied. The record of this case reveal that respondent No. 6 has filed a large number of complaints against the petitioner as well as an FIR. Thus, the apprehension of the petitioner can not be said to be far fetched.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to direct the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police to give seven days notice in case the petitioner is required in any future complaint/FIR, which may be filed/got registered by respondents No. 6 to 8.

December 07, 2018

*reena*

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**