

111
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/11/2016 16:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-53841-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 5.12.2018

Jyoti Malhotra

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioner contends due to financial loss in business, husband of petitioner owed some money to private respondents No. 6 to 13. On their complaint, petitioner is being repeatedly called to police station.

Considering that petitioner is a woman and that she cannot be repeatedly called to police station, without issuing notice to respondents, petition is disposed of with a direction to official respondents No. 1 to 5 not to summon petitioner in police station without issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. and ensure that she is not repeatedly summoned without specifying reasons in writing, so as not to harass petitioner.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

5.12.2018
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No