

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53819-2018

Date of decision:13.12.2018

Om Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vineet Chaudhry, Advocate
for the complainant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in a case registered vide FIR No.420 dated 23.12.2017 under Sections 307, 452, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sohna, District Gurugram.

The allegations in nut-shell are that the complainant was having some dispute with the accused-party and that on the day of occurrence, Sohan Pal along with petitioner-Om Pal came near the house

of the complainant and Sohan Pal raised '*Lalkara*' exhorting petitioner/accused-Om Pal to hit his car into the complainant and pursuant to the said '*Lalkara*' Om Pal accelerated the speed of his car and rammed his car into the main door of his house. While the complainant in order save himself went into the house, but Om Pal continued to chase him in his car and rammed his car into his house again causing grievous injuries to the wife of the complainant.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and co-accused Sohan Pal has already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court. It is further submitted that out of 13 witnesses, 8 witnesses including complainant as well as injured-Seema have been examined.

On the other hand the learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the injuries were intentionally caused to Seema, wife of the complainant resulting in fracture in Pelvic region and that in these circumstances, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

Having considered rival submissions addressed before this Court and bearing in mind that the petitioner has already been behind bars since last 10 months and out of 13 witnesses 8 witnesses including complainant as well as injured-Seema have been examined, in my opinion, no fruitful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind bars. Accordingly, petitioner-Om Pal is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the

satisfaction of learned trial Court.

This petition stands accepted accordingly.

13.12.2018
Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? **Yes / No**

Whether reportable? **Yes / No**