

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53681-2018

Date of decision: December 20, 2018

Arshad

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Kumar Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.191 dated 02.09.2018, registered under Sections 457 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Pinangwa, District Nuh.

It has been submitted that some unknown persons committed theft in the shop of the complainant. Co-accused Ikbal @ Kala was arrested and Rs.8,000/- has been recovered from him. However, the name of the petitioner has been disclosed by him in his disclosure statement.

Learned State counsel has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation; nothing has been recovered from him and he is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case. Ordered accordingly.

Resultantly, the interim order dated 05.12.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

December 20, 2018
m. sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No