

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRM-M-53636-2018

Date of Decision:11.12.2018

Satbir

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.545 dated 29.08.2017 under Sections 379-B, 392, 506, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the cross-examination of complainant-Dhanraj, wherein he has stated that the accused present in the court are not those persons, who snatched money from him. He has also argued that though the regular bail of co-accused Sheenu Kumar was declined by this Court vide order dated 02.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-21585-2018, however, Sheenu Kumar has filed Special Leave to Appeal No.8128 of 2018 (Sheenu Kumar @ Seenu Kumar Versus State of Haryana) against the order dated 02.08.2018, whereby the Hon'ble Apex Court has

granted him bail.

Learned State Counsel does not dispute the factum of filing of the SLP by the co-accused and grant of regular bail thereof. He has not pointed out any other case registered against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that co-accused Sheenu Kumar is admitted on bail by the Hon'ble Apex Court and the petitioner is in custody since 30.08.2017, coupled with the fact that no other case has been pointed against him, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

December 11, 2018
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No