

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-1927-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.09.2018

Sudesh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate filed by learned State counsel is taken on record. Office to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Despite the case having been called twice, the petitioner has gone un-represented.

Perusal of the file shows that on the previous date also, the petitioner had gone un-represented. Therefore, it can safely be presumed that the petitioner or his counsel is no more interested in pursuing the instant revision.

Resultantly, this revision is dismissed for want of prosecution.

September 11, 2018

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No