

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53218-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 3.12.2018

Daljit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

This is an application filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 16 dated 30.3.2018, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (Section 201 IPC was added later on, registered at Police Station Khuian Sarwar, District Fazilka (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has procured the original agreement and ready to surrender the same before the trial Court.

I am of the view that anticipatory bail has been dismissed on the ground that original agreement is yet to be recovered. The same could not be recovered during the investigation. Even after the petitioner has joined the investigation, therefore, second application is nothing but to review the earlier order. The said order was passed on few days back i.e. 21.11.2018 in CRM-M-18837-2018, titled as *Daljit Singh versus State of Punjab*.

It being so, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.12.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No