

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53057-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.12.2018

Anil

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

Petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.412 dated 29.07.2018 registered under Sections 363, 366-A IPC and Section 8 of POCSO Act at Police Station City Jind, Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 22.08.2018 and charge has been framed and 11 witnesses have been examined. Counsel states that the girl was recovered after 20-22 days and she was 17 years 8 months old and it was a run way couple who had approached the Court for protection and had got married and in the statement made under Section 164 Cr.P.C. she had stated that she had got married to the petitioner and she refused to get her medical done and she was sent to Nari Niketan. Counsel states that now the family of the complainant have also accepted the marriage.

The victim has not supported the prosecution version in her statement made under Section 164 Cr.P.C. the couple had come for protection to the High Court. The petitioner had got married to the victim.

The trial would take time. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing surety and adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

December 06, 2018

ps-I

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No