

Rattan Lal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

The allegations of the petitioner are that the private respondents killed his son while detaining him illegally. Although an FIR has been registered on his complaint, the private respondents have not been named as accused and taking advantage of this situation, they are threatening the petitioner. Thus, he fear for his life. Despite representation dated 20.10.2018 (Annexure P-5), no action has been taken by the police.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 5 to 9.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondents No. 3 and 4 to objectively consider the representation dated 20.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is so felt

necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It shall be ensured that the life and liberty of the petitioner is protected.

December 01, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No