

119
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/11/2018 17:15
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-53000-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 30.11.2018

Sanjay Saxena

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Sunil Saini

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard. The learned counsel for petitioner contends that defence evidence of accused/petitioner has been closed by orders after granting six adjournments. The learned counsel for accused/petitioner wants to examine himself in defence evidence, for which he has already got permission. However, due to some medical problem, he could not appear. Now, petitioner seeks only one opportunity to examine himself in defence evidence.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to respondent, impugned order dated 5.11.2018 (Annexure-P-7), passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram, is modified to extent that accused/petitioner shall be given only one opportunity to examine himself in defence evidence and produce no other evidence on next date of hearing.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

30.11.2018
sjks

Whether speaking order	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No