

113
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/11/2018 15:50
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM No. M-52768-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 29.11.2018

Satish Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. S.K. Tandon, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioners has produced photocopy of statement of marks of petitioner No. 2, conducted by AITT, under the aegies of NCVT, Academic Session – August, 2016, showing her date of birth, in Court today and same is taken on record.

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 27.11.2018. The photographs of marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3 (Colly.). The true typed copy of Aadhar Card, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and duly sworn affidavit of petitioner No. 2 and photocopy of statement of marks of petitioner No. 2, conducted by AITT, under the aegies of NCVT, Academic Session – August, 2016, (produced in Court today), showing her date of birth, have been placed on file as Annexure-P-1 and Annexure-P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 27.11.2018 before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents, true typed copy of which is placed on file as Annexure-P-4.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar-respondent No. 2, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 27.11.2018 (Annexure-P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

29.11.2018
sjks

Whether speaking order	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No