

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52756-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 7.12.2018

Mohd. Waseem

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Kuldip Singh Chaudhary, Advocate for complainant.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 308 dated 21.9.2018 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 295-A/298/323/342/506/379/34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sector-26, Chandigarh.

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioners are that he is working as a motor mechanic in Sector 28, Motor Market, Chandigarh. The complainant-Paramjit Singh is also working as motor mechanic in the said market.

It is alleged that on 18.9.2018 at about 2.05 p.m. accused-petitioner along with his two associates came there and removed the board from the shop of the complainant. He also removed the boards from other two shops. Thereafter, complainant was called by the petitioner to his shop,

where he allegedly removed the turban of the complainant and trampled the same with his shoes. Accused-petitioner along with his associates also threatened to cut the beard of the complainant. Thereafter, complainant was given beatings and humiliated and threatened to vacate the shop.

Learned standing counsel for U.T. Chandigarh has filed the list of 13 cases which has been registered against the petitioner from the year 2003 onwards stating that he was convicted in three of those cases.

This Court is further informed that after the registration of present case, another case has been registered against the petitioner.

Considering the history of the petitioner and the manner of the commission of crime, I do not find it a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.12.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No