

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Revision No. 1100 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.9.2018

Nitin

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Susheel Guatam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

State counsel informs that the petition has become infructuous
as the petitioner has been convicted.

Counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that this fact had been
brought to the notice of the Court on the last date of hearing and counsel for
the petitioner had sought time to verify the fact.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has the right
to argue on the juvenility.

The petitioner can raise the issue before the Appellate Court.

The petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

September 11, 2018
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No