

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.6537 of 2017

Date of Decision: 21.05.2018

Radha Doomra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Salil Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.
Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order passed by this Court on 28.02.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation, whereas, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurnam Singh, submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation but recovery has not been effected from her.

The allegations of giving/taking money is a matter of evidence which can be proved during trial and moreover, the parties are closely related and were sent before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court but the matter could not be resolved.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order passed on 28.02.2017 in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

21.05.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No