

108
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/11/2018 17:14
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-52606-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 29.11.2018

Sita Ram Garg Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard. The learned counsel for petitioner states that a complaint was made against him by private respondent No. 5, stating that a false case under Section 376 IPC will be registered against petitioner. The petitioner and his wife were called to police station and a written compromise was effected. The learned counsel for petitioner contends that said written compromise was obtained by force and coercive measures. He further contends that a post dated cheque No. 008019 for Rs. 4,20,000/- was also taken from petitioner under threat.

If it is plea of petitioner that written compromise is by force or coercive measures, he can always challenge same before Civil Court and if he feels that a criminal case is to be registered, he is always at liberty to approach learned Illaqa Magistrate with appropriate prayer.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

29.11.2018

sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No