

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52596 of 2018.

Decided on:- November 29, 2018.

Tarlochan Singh and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Gurbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to respondent No.3 to investigate and restrain the respondents No.4 and 5 from taking coercive steps against the petitioners as well as to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that Jaskaran Singh son of the petitioners had gone to Canada on 15.08.2016 and he had taken Rs.15 lakh from petitioner No.1 at the time when he was going to Canada. However, in the month of June, 2018, respondent No.5 had come to the petitioner and demanded Rs.26 lakh which were given to Jaskaran Singh. Now, the respondent No.5 is putting pressure upon the petitioners with the help of respondent No.4 i.e. DSP, Headquarter, Barnala. The police is calling the petitioners to the Police Station and there is a possibility that a false case

may be registered against the petitioners. Neither the petitioners have any control on their son nor they have money to pay on his behalf.

He has further contended that on 15.11.2018, the petitioner No.1 had also made a complaint (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala, but no action has been taken so far.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and after going through the contents of the present petition, this Court finds that the present petition is nothing but an attempt to give a different colour to the facts. The allegation is that at the behest of respondent No.5, the police is calling the petitioners to the Police Station. The component of threat of life and liberty of the petitioners is, therefore, badly missing. In case the petitioners have any grievance against the respondents No.4 and 5, then instead of approaching this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., they can avail other appropriate remedy, as available under law.

Accordingly, the present petition, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

November 29, 2018

Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No