

CRM-M-52587-2018

Date of Decision:05.12.2018.

DAVINDER TEJI @ PAPPU

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Ms. Shruty Bhimwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

This is second petition filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.15 dated 11.02.2018 registered under Sections 454, 394, 120-B of IPC at Police Station Mukandpur, District SBS Nagar.

Earlier petition filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 05.07.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the co-accused Harminder Singh has already been granted bail by the Magistrate but the applicant has been declined the concession of bail. The applicant is in custody since 05.03.2018. Even otherwise the dispute is between the family members which is pending. He further states that trial in the case will take a long time as against the 16 witnesses cited by the prosecution only 3 witnesses has been examined.

Learned State counsel states that petitioner is not entitled for grant of bail as he alongwith co-accused has caused injuries to the complainant-Gurdev Kaur and her husband Kulbir Singh.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 5.3.2018, the offences are triable by Magistrate and trial in the case will take long time, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be admitted on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, it is made clear that petitioner shall not extend any threat or influence any witness in any manner.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

December 05, 2018

Jyoti-IV

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No