

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Misc. No. M-643 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.2.2018

Renu Bala

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for respondent No. 6.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondent No. 1 to hand over the investigation to CID/Crime Branch.

Respondent No. 5 who was the then SSP, Moga had been arrayed as private respondent.

Notice was sent on the address indicated in the petition. Report has been received that the SSP had been transferred from Moga in December 2016.

On the last date, State counsel had informed that the petition has become infructuous as challan had been presented.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant had

named the husband of the in-laws but challan was filed only against the husband and the petitioner was never joined the investigation and recovery of the dowry articles was not effected and directions should be given to the police officials to hand over the investigation to an independent investigating agency like CID/Crime branch.

State counsel submits that since challan has been submitted and if the complainant has a grievance, she can avail the remedy available under law. He also states that the case is fixed for framing of charge on 5.3.2018.

The prayer made in the petition was for handing over the investigation to the Crime Branch/CID. Investigation is over. Challan had been presented in September 2017. The complainant is aggrieved as the rest of the family members of the in-laws were not challaned. No further investigation is required.

The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

February 26, 2018
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No