

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-63 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.02.2018

Pushpinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.Arun Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

LISA GILL, J (Oral).

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.93 dated 03.12.2016, under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Khanauri, District Sangrur.

Petitioner and the complainant-respondent no.2 duly identified by their counsel are present in Court.

It is informed that during the pendency of this petition, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties. Petitioner and the complainant alongwith their minor child are living together at the matrimonial home since the last one month. The terms and conditions of the settlement have been reduced into writing before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court today itself. It is thus prayed that this petition be allowed.

Settlement agreement dated 27.02.2018, produced in Court today is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

Learned counsel for the complainant affirms and verifies the factum of settlement and submits that in view of the same, the complainant has no objection in case this petition be allowed subject to strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the settlement by the petitioner. It is also affirmed that the complainant and the petitioner are living together in the matrimonial home along with the minor child.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Lakhbir Singh, verifies that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 13.07.2017, is made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

27.02.2018
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.