

Voilet

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

It is alleged by the petitioner that she is being harassed by one Jagga and at his behest by the police on account of the fact that her husband is an accused in a murder case and is absconding. She fears for her life and liberty and has, accordingly, submitted a representation (Annexure P-1) but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let five copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3 to objectively consider the representation (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is so felt necessary, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

November 28, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]**JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**