

CWP No.10785-2012
and other connected cases

1

Sr.No.205
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10785-2012 (O&M)

M/s Vijay Printing Press Pvt. Ltd.Petitioner

versus

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Dev.Corp.&Ors.Respondents

CWP No.19703-2012(O&M)

Sumer Chand and anotherPetitioner

versus

Financial Commissioner and othersRespondents

CWP No.21059-2012(O&M)

M/s Ketan Overseas Pvt. Ltd.Petitioner

versus

Financial Commissioner and othersRespondents

CWP No.21797-2014(O&M)

Dharmender AggarwalPetitioner

versus

Financial Commissioner and othersRespondents

CWP No.26001-2013(O&M)

Padia Exports Pvt. Ltd.Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CWP No.10785-2012
and other connected cases

2

CWP-26005-2013(O&M)

Padia Exports Pvt. Ltd.Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CWP-16256-2013(O&M)

M/s Godwin Inc. and othersPetitioners

versus

HSIIDC and othersRespondents

CWP No.9615-2014(O&M)

Raveesh GoswamiPetitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CWP No.19594-2013(O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.05.2018

M/s Pachranga International Pvt. Ltd.Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present Mr.Rashpinder Singh, Advocate
 for Mr. S.S.Behl, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. A.S.Grewal, Advocate
 Mr. Rajiv Atma Ram, Sr.Advocate
 with Mr. Arjun Partap Atma Ram and
 Mr. Sube Sharma, Advocates

CWP No.10785-2012

3

and other connected cases

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
Mr. Akshay Bansal and Mr. Ashwani Talwar
Advocates for petitioner in CWP-9615-2014.
Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

MAHESH GROVER, J(ORAL)

By this common order, we shall dispose of all the above mentioned writ petitions vide No. 10785-2012, 19703-2012, 21059-2012, 21797-2014, 26001-2013, 26005-2013, 16256-2013, 9615-2014 and 19594-2013, since the same controversy involves in all the writ petitions.

On 21.07.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“ It is confirmed on behalf of the State of Haryana that there is a 'Dispute Settlement Committee' as recorded in the order dated 31.01.2017. The respondents shall inform the petitioner about the constitution of the Committee. The State Government shall also inform the petitioners' advocates in writing. Upon their doing so, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach the Dispute Settlement Committee in respect of their grievances. The petitioner shall approach the Committee within four weeks on their being so informed.

We are sure that the Committee, if constituted, would consider the matter expeditiously in view of the fact that the same had already been pending for long time.

Adjourned to 03.10.2017.

A copy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases. “

Pursuant thereto, the intimation has been given to the petitioners, a fact not disputed before us.

If that being so, then the petitioner would be at liberty to pursue their remedy before the Dispute Settlement Committee. It is stated before us that this course has already been adopted but this is unverifiable in the absence of any affidavit or application on record.

CWP No.10785-2012

4

and other connected cases

In view of the above, we dispose of all the writ petitions by simply observing that in case the petitioners have taken recourse to the remedy before the Disputes Settlement Committee, the same shall be decided as expeditiously as possible but not later than four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In case of those who have not approached the committee, the needful be done within a period of three weeks' from today where after the committee shall dispose of the grievance of the petitioners within a period of four months thereafter.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

07.05.2018
mamta

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No