

CRM No. M-52212-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 27.11.2018

Jyoti Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

On a query being put by Court qua date of birth of petitioner No. 1 as correct date of birth is not mentioned in true extract typed copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure-P-1), wherein only year of birth i.e. 2000 is written on it, the learned counsel for petitioners has produced original Permanent Account Number of petitioner No. 1 alongwith attested to be true copy thereof in Court today, wherein date of birth of petitioner No. 1 is written as 31.8.2000. Attested true copy of Permanent Account Number of petitioner No. 1 is taken on record and original Permanent Account Number of petitioner No. 1 was returned to learned counsel after perusal of same.

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 22.11.2018. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3. The true extract typed copies of Aadhar Cards, showing the year of birth of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2, have been placed on file as Annexure-P-1 and Annexure-P-2 respectively, and attested copy of Permanent Account Number is placed on file today.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 26.11.2018 (Annexure-P-4) before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala-respondent No. 2, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 26.11.2018 (Annexure-P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.11.2018
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No