

215
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52015-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.12.2018

Ashok Kumar @ Sabbi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Upender Prasher, Advcoate
for the petitioner.
Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that one Davinder Pal, Head Constable had applied for leave for four months and three days on medical grounds. He supplied outdoor four medical tickets to the department. During the departmental inquiry, it was found that those slips were forged and not issued by the concerned department. During interrogation, accused Davinder Pal named present petitioner who is working as a Lab attendant in the Guru Nanak Hospital, Amritsar as the person who has supplied forged slips.

It is further contended that the petitioner is ready to give his handwriting that the slips were not prepared by him.

On the other hand, learned State counsel contends that it has come in the investigation that petitioner used to get the slips prepared from the students. Therefore, role of the petitioner in preparing the fake medical tickets and the similar activities are to be detected. Learned State counsel

further contends that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required in the present case. In view of the role attributed to the petitioner and the fact that the police want to verify the role in other similar activities, I am of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

No ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

Dismissed accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.12.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No